

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This application has been carefully reviewed in view of the Office Action dated July 25, 2005. In that Office Action, applicant was required to elect a single species between the embodiments shown in FIGS. 5, 7 and 16.


In response, applicant elects the embodiment shown in FIG. 16. Claims readable on FIGS. 15-23 are Claims 13-20. The election is made without traverse.

The Office Action summary indicates that the specification and drawings are objected to. However, no further information was provided. Accordingly, Applicant is unable to respond to said objections.

Respectfully submitted,

KELLY LOWRY & KELLEY, LLP

By:



Scott W. Kelley
Reg. No. 30,762
Attorney for Applicant

SWK/lt

6320 Canoga Avenue
Suite 1650
Woodland Hills, CA 91367
(818) 347-7900